മിസ്റ്റർ ഐ. രാമൻ മേനോൻ.—ഉണ്ട്. ആക്ഷേപ ഹർജി സമയം തീരുന്നതിനു കുറച്ചു കഴിഞ്ഞു കിട്ടിയതിനാൽ അതു തള്ളിക്കളഞ്ഞു.

Erur Murder Case.

190. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) what the final decision was in the murder case which occurred at Erur in 1104;

(b) has the court made any remark with regard to the conduct of the Police in the investigation of the case; if so, what are the remarks;

(c) who are the Police officers responsible for the unsatisfactory investigation of the case, and what action has been taken against them;

(d) who was the Inspector of Police there at that time; was he present for the inquest; has any action been taken against him;

(e) did the Commissioner of Police investigate the case; if so, has he taken timely action to rectify the flaw in the investigation, if any?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—

(a) The accused were acquitted.

(b) Yes, * * * "that the investigation made by the Sub-Inspector at the time of the inquest and soon after was not as searching and thorough as it should have been".

(c) Sub-Inspectors Messrs. Vytheeswara Ayyar and N.A. Krishna Menon. They were both reduced by one grade for three months.

(d) Probationary Inspector Mr. A. Ramanunni Mannadiar. Yes.

No.

(e) No.

Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—May I know why no action was taken against the Inspector in spite of the remarks of the Chief Court?

Mr. P. Nilakanta Menon.—He was new to the work, and although I did not punish him formally, I advised him to take particular care in this matter in future.

Kallumpurathangadi Road.

191. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly